

BAY AREA AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT Brian Baleman 06-8-3

September 27, 2006

Ms. Catherine Witherspoon Executive Officer California Air Resources Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95812

ALAMEDA COUNTY
Tom Bates
Scott Haggerty
Janet Lockhart
Nate Miley

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY Mark DeSaulnier Mark Ross (Vice-Chair) Michael Shimansky Gayle B. Ullkema (Chair)

MARIN COUNTY Harold C. Brown, Jr.

NAPA COUNTY Brad Wagenknecht

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY Chris Daily Jake McGoldrick Gavin Newsom

SAN MATEO COUNTY

Jerry Hill

(Secretary)

Carol Klatt

SANTA CLARA COUNTY Edin Garner Yoriko Kishimoto Liz Khies Patrick Kwok

> 50LANO COUNTY John F. Silva

SONOMA COUNTY Tim Smith Pamels Torllatt

Jack P. Broadbant EXECUTIVE OFFICER/APCO Re: Proposed Amendments to Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM) for Chrome Plating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Operations

Dear Ms. Witherspoon:

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) appreciates the opportunity to comment on your staff's proposed amendments to the ATCM for Chrome Plating and Chromic Acid Anodizing Operations. The existing ATCM, along with the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Program, has provided significant emission reductions of hexavalent chromium, a potent human carcinogen, and established California in a leadership position in this regard. The proposed amendments would strengthen the ATCM and provide a further measure of public health protection.

The BAAQMD currently has 7 hard chrome plating, and 10 decorative chrome plating facilities, operating within our jurisdiction. Based on our evaluations, the emissions of hexavalent chromium from each of these facilities result in maximum lifetime cancer risks of less than 10 in a million to any individual. Most of these facilities will need to implement additional control measures to comply with the proposed amendments.

We believe that many of our decorative chrome plating facilities may be able to comply with the stringent emission standards in the proposed amendments with the use of fume suppressants and other bath controls without the need for add-on controls. This control option is important in that it may significantly reduce the costs needed to comply with the ATCM. For example, it would obviate the need for the installation of an exhaust hood and ventilation system, which are currently not in place at most Bay Area decorative chrome plating facilities. The proposed amendments allow facilities with throughput levels less than or equal to 200,000 amp-hr/yr to demonstrate compliance without the use of add-on controls.

Ms. Catherine Witherspoon September 27, 2006 Page 2 of 2

For facilities with throughput levels greater than 200,000 amp-hr/yr, the proposed amendments would require decorative chrome platers to use add-on controls. The option to use an alternative requirement to demonstrate compliance using fume suppressants and other bath controls without add-on controls is not provided, even though Section 39666(f) of the California Health and Safety Code requires an air district to approve an alternative method that provides equivalent emission reductions to those required in an ATCM. We believe that ARB should use a "technology neutral" approach in the ATCM that allows facilities to demonstrate compliance with specified emission standards using alternative methods or new technologies that may emerge.

Thank you for your consideration of our comments. If you have any questions or would like to discuss these matters further, please call me at (415) 749-5052 or Brian Bateman, Engineering Division Director, at (415) 749-4653.

Sincerely,

Jack P. Broadben